Community Corrections' Preparedness for Responding to Pandemic Flu and Other Crises

A Report of Responses to a Request for Information



American Probation and Parole Association

Patricia Bancroft April 17, 2007

Funded by Bureau of Justice Assistance U.S. Department of Justice

INTRODUCTION

Under a cooperative agreement with the Bureau of Justice Assistance, U.S.

Department of Justice, the American Probation and Parole Association (APPA), will provide guidance to community corrections agencies in formulating strategic plans and protocols for responding to pandemic flu and other crises. One of the first steps toward this goal is to collect information about existing plans and protocols. This preliminary report summarizes the responses to a request for information that was sent by APPA to agencies across the Country.

METHOD

A web-based request for information was disseminated in February of 2007 in an effort to collect information regarding community corrections agencies' existing policies and procedures for responding to pandemic flu and other crises. The request for information (Appendix A) was organized in five sections to collect information about: agency demographics; existing Continuity of Operations Plans (COOPs), pandemic response planning and level of coordination with other stakeholders; personnel policies and staff safety measures; offender triage and supervision procedures; and existing COOPs for the courts, jails, and prisons with which the agency works.

The request for information (RFI) was placed on the American Probation and Parole Association's web site so that respondents could complete the form online or print the RFI and mail or fax their responses. A link to the RFI webpage was emailed to approximately 3,500 individuals including past and present members of APPA as well as other community corrections professionals who have participated in APPA Training

Institutes and other programs. The email message asked recipients to forward it as necessary to the person within their agency best able to respond to the RFI.

Because the initial email could have been forwarded to any number of people, and because there is no way to know how many individuals actually viewed the RFI, it is not possible to define a sampling frame to calculate a response rate. A total of 25 responses were received from agencies in 17 States, as listed in Appendix B.

FINDINGS

Respondent Demographics

The first section of the RFI asked for demographic information about the jurisdiction and geographic area served by the respondent's agency. As can be seen in Table 1, seven respondents described their jurisdictions as urban, seven as rural, four as suburban, and six as some combination of the three types. The geographic areas served were identified by respondents as county (56%), state (24%), and city (12%); one agency covers a combination city/state/county area and another serves a judicial district.

Table 1 - Jurisdiction and Geographic Area Served

	Number	Percent
Type of Jurisdiction		
Urban	7	28
Suburban	4	16
Rural	7	28
Other:	7	28
- Urban and Rural	2	
 Urban, Suburban, and Rural 	4	
 Not specified 	1	
Geographic Area		
City	3	12
State/Territory/Province	6	24
County	14	56
Other:	2	8
- Judicial District	1	
- City, State, and County	1	

Continuity of Operations Plans

A Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) establishes policies and procedures that ensure the continuation of mission-essential functions when any emergency or catastrophic event threatens or incapacitates normal operations. The RFI queried respondents regarding their agency's COOP and also asked about the policies and procedures that have been developed by their agency specifically for responding to a pandemic outbreak.

Approximately half of the respondents (n=13) said that their agency has a COOP. These respondents provided additional information regarding whether certain specified components are included in their COOP. Table 2 lists those components and shows the number of respondents indicating their COOPs contain them.

Table 2 – Components Included in Continuity of Operations Plans

Component	Number	Percent
Delegations of authority/chain of command	12	92
Identification of functions that are essential to agency's mission	12	92
Emergency communications - voice and data (procedures; equipment)	11	85
Security and workplace safety	11	85
Identification of mission-critical personnel (positions)	10	78
Order of succession of personnel to key leadership positions	9	69
Identification of emergency team	9	69
Identification of alternate operating facilities	8	62
Identification of alternate offender reporting procedures	8	62
Reconstitution plan for returning to normal operations	7	54
Information technology redundancy	6	46
Procedures for accessing vital records and data systems	5	38
Personnel classroom training and practice exercises	5	38
Plans for post-pandemic review to measure effectiveness of response plans	4	31

Pandemic Preparedness Planning

Only seven of the 25 respondents indicated that their agency has developed policies and procedures that are specific to responding to a pandemic outbreak. Of the 17 agencies that do not have such policies and procedures, eight are in the process of developing them, six have not yet begun to develop them and three have no plans for

doing so; three other respondents were unable to report the current status of their agency's development effort.

Fifteen agencies indicated they are coordinating their pandemic planning effort with other stakeholders, identified in Table 3. Only one of these agencies is coordinating solely with public health. The others are working with multiple

stakeholders in a variety of combinations, but none is working with more than six stakeholders. It is perhaps worth noting that three agencies are working only with public health and emergency response, and only five of the six agencies who are collaborating with jails/prisons are also working with

Table 3 – Pandemic Preparedness Coordination						
Stakeholder	Number	Percent				
Public health	14	93				
Emergency response	10	67				
Jails/prisons	6	40				
Law enforcement	6	40				
Fire department	4	27				
Local courts	3	20				
State courts	2	13				
Communications sector	2	13				
Business sector	1	7				
Federal courts	0	0				
Other	3	20				
No response	9	60				

law enforcement.

Respondents were asked whether their agency's budget includes funds to cover the costs involved in preparing for a pandemic event. Only one of the 21 people who answered this question indicated that the costs are covered in their budget; 19 (90%) said the costs are not covered, and one did not know.

Personnel Policies and Procedures

Respondents were queried about specific personnel policies and procedures relative to staff safety and absenteeism during a pandemic outbreak. As Table 4 reveals, more than half of all respondents said their agency has not formally addressed these particular issues.

Table 4 – Personnel Policies and Procedures

	Y	es	N	No No Res		ponse
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Do personnel policies specifically address absence from duty during a pandemic outbreak?	7	28	14	56	4	16
Is there a family assistance plan, enabling essential personnel to report for duty during a pandemic?	2	8	18	72	5	20
Has personal protective gear been purchased for staff to use during a pandemic?	8	32	13	52	4	16
Is there a plan for housing staff that are unable to leave the workplace due to pandemic-related circumstances?	5	20	14	56	6	24

Absence from duty

Explanations were provided by some of the seven respondents who indicated that they have personnel policies to cover absenteeism during a pandemic. One said that "those who are not ill will continue the work." Another said that there will be a flexible leave policy during a declared pandemic, but did not elaborate. One respondent provided a list of the policies and procedures to be followed during a pandemic, which included the following:

- Flexible leave policies absences will be permitted for:
 - Personal illness
 - Family member illness
 - Bereavement
 - Disruptions in other service sectors (e.g., school/day care closures, reduced public transportation)
- Flexible work hours, with director's approval
- There will be no work from home.

Family assistance

The two respondents whose agency's plans include providing assistance to family members so that essential personnel are able to report for duty provided the following details:

- Families will be able to come into the institutions as needed.
- The Department of Corrections will receive mass supplies from Strategic National Stockpile resources for staff and their families. (A legislative initiative will be sent to authorize this plan.)

Personal protective gear

Eight respondents indicated that personal protective gear has been purchased for staff to use during a pandemic. Only one of these agencies has designated such gear for use solely by essential personnel.

Staff housing

Most respondents (56%) reported that their agency does not have plans for housing staff that are unable to leave the workplace during a pandemic. Those respondents employed in agencies that do have such plans explained that staff would be housed in prisons, residential facilities, or dormitories.

Stockpiled supplies and equipment

Supplies and equipment need to be stockpiled (i.e., reserved) for use during a pandemic outbreak to ensure continuation of agency operations and to meet the needs of essential personnel who will be on duty during such an event. Table 5 lists some of those items and shows the number of respondents who indicated that their agency has stockpiled necessary equipment and supplies to last at least 30 days. The majority of

respondents (64%) did not answer this question. This could indicate that these items are not being stockpiled by most respondents' agencies, or it might mean that many respondents do

Table 5 – Stockpiled Supplies and Equipment					
Item	Number	Percent			
Food	2	8			
Water	3	12			
Medical equipment	3	12			
Medicine/medical supplies	2	8			
Fuel	2	8			
Alternate electricity sources (e.g., generators)	7	28			
Other	1	4			
No response	16	64			

not have knowledge of the supplies and equipment that their agency may be stockpiling for use during an event such as a pandemic outbreak.

Offender Supervision

It is anticipated that fewer staff will be available (or willing) to report for duty during a pandemic outbreak, and that jails and prisons may be locked down, evacuated, or unable to admit additional inmates. This section of the RFI was designed to elicit information about the contingency plans that have been developed by agencies to ensure that adequate offender supervision services are maintained in the face of staff reductions and fewer incarceration/detention options.

Deciding what services are non-essential is crucial to effectively coping with the staff shortages that are expected to occur during a pandemic. Ten respondents reported that their agencies have identified non-essential services that could be curtailed or eliminated; ten others said they have not done so (see Table 6). Only two respondents indicated that contingency plans have been developed for incarcerating violators in the event that jails/prisons become unable to admit additional inmates.

Table 6 - Offender Supervision

	Y	es	N	lo	Do Kn	n't ow	No Response	
Plan/Procedure	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Non-essential services have been identified for curtailment or elimination during a pandemic.	10	40	10	40	0	0	5	20
A contingency plan has been developed for incarcerating violators if jails/prisons are locked down during a pandemic.	2	8	18	72	1	4	4	16
Offender supervision triage procedures to be implemented during a pandemic have been established.	4	16	17	68	0	0	4	16

Four respondents said that offender supervision triage procedures have been developed by their agency. Of these respondents, two indicated that the triage process would identify the minimum level of supervision required for each offender; another respondent said triage would identify the type of supervision needed (e.g., intensive supervision); and one respondent indicated that both the minimum level and type of supervision would be determined through triage.

Other Continuity of Operations Plans

Community corrections agencies work in concert with the court, jail, and prison systems within their jurisdictions. Therefore, it stands to reason that COOPs and other emergency response plans adopted by each of these interacting systems should be compatible with one another. Respondents were asked to indicate whether the courts and jails/prisons with which they work have COOPs and, if so, whether their agency has a copy of those plans. As Table 7 reveals, most respondents do not know whether or not COOPs are in place for either the courts or the jails/prisons in their jurisdictions. Only one respondent reported that both the courts and the jails/prisons with which they work have COOPs in place and that their agency has a copy of both of those COOPs. Four

additional respondents indicated that COOPs have been developed for their jails/prisons, but only one of those four said that their agency has a copy.

Table 7 - Court and Jail/Prison COOPs

					Don't		No	
	Y	es	N	lo	Kn	OW	Resp	onse
	#	%	# %		#	%	#	%
Court COOP is in place	1	4	7	28	12	48	5	20
Agency has copy of court COOP	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jail/prison COOP is in place	5	20	5	20	10	40	5	20
Agency has copy of jail/prison COOP	2	-	1	-	0 - 2		2	-

DISCUSSION

No generalizations can be made from the body of data that has been collected through this RFI; there were simply too few responses representing too few jurisdictions. However, this very lack may be illuminating in its own way. Consider that APPA's request for information was disseminated across the Country to at least 3,500 email addresses and possibly many more if, as was requested, recipients forwarded the RFI to more cognizant staff persons for response. Any number of circumstances could account for the fact that only 25 responses were received. For example, some recipients may have read through the RFI and decided they did not have enough knowledge to adequately respond. It may be that very little information is available at this point in time. Perhaps there are many agencies that are only just beginning to develop strategies for how they will cope with the ramifications of a pandemic. It is also possible that in many agencies the development process has not yet begun or, more alarming, is not even on the horizon. In any event, it would appear that there is a definite need to educate and raise awareness in the field about the potentially devastating impact a pandemic outbreak could have on operational capabilities. It must be understood that in order to ensure adequate levels of operation during a pandemic, it is crucial for agencies to have their

response strategies and protocols in place, tested, and well rehearsed before an outbreak occurs.



REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Community Corrections' Preparedness for Pandemic Influenza

Under a grant from the Bureau of Justice Assistance, U.S. Department of Justice, the American Probation and Parole Association (APPA) is collaborating with the Association of State Correctional Administrators (ASCA) to develop guidance for community corrections agencies as they formulate strategic plans and protocols for responding to pandemic flu and other crises.

We are requesting information regarding your agency's current policies and procedures for responding to pandemic flu and other crises. If someone other than yourself should respond to this Request for Information on behalf of your agency, please forward it to the appropriate person. We need to receive your agency's response by February 16, 2007.

Thank you for your assistance! If you are providing copies of your agency's plans, policies, procedures, or other materials to APPA, please mail or email them to me at:

Pat Bancroft
Research Associate/Project Director
American Probation and Parole Association
2760 Research Park Drive
Lexington, KY 40511
Phone: 859-244-8197 Fax: 859-244-8001

E-mail: pbancroft@csg.org

SECTION I - DEMOGRAPHICS

1.	Which of the fo	ollowing best describ	bes the juri	sdiction in wh	ich you work?	
	Urban	Suburban	Rura	alO	ther - specify:	
2.	Which of the fo	ollowing best describ	bes the geo	graphic area se	erved by your agency?	
	City	State/Territory/Pr	rovince _	County	Other - specify:	
SE	CTION II - GE	CNERAL				
3.	Has your agend	cy developed a Cont	inuity of O	perations Plan	(COOP)?*	
	Yes	No				
	continu threate catastra specifi 3a. If your age (check all to the continue of the catastra specifical decorption of the catastra specifical deco	entification of function of successions or incapacitates in ophes, pandemics). In the pandemic responsible to pandemic respons	sential function and a cooperation of a cooperation a cooperation of a cooperation of a cooperation of a cooperations of a cooperation of a co	rations when an rations (for example to the relates to you egin with Que please mail on the which of the essential to the personnel (position key leaders) command	r email a copy to APPA. e following components ar ne agency's mission itions)	nic event eather-related ions that are
	Ide Ide Inf Pro Re Pe Pla	entification of alternation of alternation of alternation technology occdures for accessing constitution plan for rsonnel classroom tr	ate operating ate offender y redundaning vital recurring and ic review oss and iden	r reporting pro cy ords and data s to normal oper practice exerc f COOP opera tify necessary	systems rations ises tions, policies and procedu remedial actions	ures

No	Don't know		
please identify who is in	nvolved (check a	ll that apply):	
Emergency response			
Public health			
Jails/prisons			
Law enforcement			
Local courts			
State courts			
Federal courts			
Fire department			
Business sector			
Communications secto			
Other – please specify:	·		_
			=
			_
-			-
	APPEND	OIX A	

SECTION III - STAFF

7.	Has your ager face masks, gl		ersonal protective gear for staff to use during a pandemic outbreak (e.g.,
	Yes	No	Don't know
	7a. If yes, ple	ease indicate for	whose use the gear is intended (please check one):
		all personnel	
		ssential personne	
			ecify:
	D	on't know	
8.			ollowing has been stockpiled by your agency in sufficient quantities to rsonnel and sustain operations for at least 30 days (check all that apply)
	Food		
	Water		
	Medic	cal equipment	
	Medic	cine/medical sup	plies
	Water Medic Medic Fuel Altern	nota alaatriaity sy	ouroes (o a generators)
	Other		ources (e.g., generators)
		p-case species	·
9.			for housing staff who may be unable to leave the workplace (e.g., nsportation services disruption)?
	Yes	No	Don't know
	9a. If yes, ple	ease explain:	
10.	Has your ager	ncy developed pe	ersonnel policies specifically addressing absence from duty during a
	•		D 1/1
	Yes	No	Don't know
	10a. If yes, pl	ease explain:	
11.		ency have a plan able to report fo	to provide assistance to family members to ensure that essential or duty?
	Yes	No	Don't know
	11a. If yes, pl	ease explain:	
		-	

SECTION IV - SUPERVISION SERVICES

12.	Has your agend outbreak?	cy identified no	on-essential services to be curtailed or eliminated during a pandemic
	Yes	No	Don't know
13.	Has your agend	cy established	offender triage procedures to be implemented during a pandemic event?
	Yes	No	Don't know
	13a. If yes, ple	ease indicate w	hat the triage process will identify (check all that apply):
	=	Type of super Other – pleas	vel of supervision required for each offender rvision needed by each offender e specify:
14.			tingency plan for handling violators if a pandemic outbreak causes jails refuse to admit additional inmates?
	Yes	No	Don't know
	14a. If yes, ple	ease explain: _	
SE	CTION V - OI	HER	
15.	Is there a Cont	inuity of Opera	ations Plan for the court(s) with which your agency works?
	Yes	No	Don't know
	15a. If yes, doe	es your agency	have a copy of such COOP(s)?
	Yes	No	Don't know
16.	Is there a Cont	inuity of Opera	tions Plan for the jails/prisons in your jurisdiction?
	Yes	No	Don't know
	16a. If yes, doe	es your agency	have a copy of such COOP(s)?
	Yes	No	Don't know

SECTION VI - CLARIFICATIONS AND COMMENTS

Please use the space below to provide clarification and/or comments to us. Simply list the question number and your statement of clarification, or just write your comments. (Please attach additional sheets as necessary.)
If you are interested in assisting APPA with this project by participating in a focus group/working
group, please indicate the level at which you would participate (check all that apply):
As the agency's director
As the agency's pandemic contact person As a Subject Matter Expert
Other – please specify:
Thank you for taking the time to provide this information. Please return by February 16, 2007 to:
Pat Bancroft Research Associate/Project Director American Probation and Parole Association 2760 Research Park Drive

Lexington, KY 40511 Phone: 859-244-8197 Fax: 859-244-8001

E-mail: pbancroft@csg.org

American Probation and Parole Association Request for Information: Community Corrections' Preparedness for Pandemic Influenza February 2007

RESPONDENTS

Shane K Madison
Probation Officer
North Little Rock District Court - 1st Division
200 W. Pershing Blvd., Room 22A
North Little Rock, AR 72114
501-791-8665
shane.madison@nlrpolice.org

Hellen J. Carter AZ Department of Corrections 1601 W. Jefferson Phoenix, AZ 85007 602-568-9107 hcarter227@aol.com

D. Mackey
Detention Supervisor
Cochise County Juvenile Detention
100 Colonia de Salud
Sierra Vista, AZ 85635
520-803-3008
DMackey@courts.az.org

Gail Neal Chief Probation Officer Mariposa County Probation P.O. Box 76 Mariposa, CA 95338 209-966-3612 qneal@mariposacounty.org

Tate Rankin
Supervising Probation Officer
Tulare County Probation Department
11150 Avenue 368
Visalia, CA 93291
559-740-4475
TRankin@co.tulare.ca.us

Jan Abee SMA II FL Department of Juvenile Justice 2737 Centerview Drive, Suite 101 Tallahassee, FL 32399 250-487-3402 Jan.Abee@dji.state.fl.us

Linda Murken
Director
Second Judicial District
Department of Correctional Services
P.O. Box 623
Ames, IA 50010-0623
515-232-1511, ext. 16
linda.murken@iowa.gov

Allison Juliot Chief Probation Officer Clark Superior Court II Probation 501 E. Court Ave. Room 201 Jeffersonville, IN 47130 812-258-2563 ajuliot@co.clark.in.us

Kathy Rubinkowski
Deputy Director
Sangamon County Adult Probation
and Court Services
200 S. 9th, Room 104
Springfield, IL 62701
217-753-6770
kathyr@co.sangamon.il.us

Gregory Werich
Chief Probation Officer
Wells County Probation Department
102 W. Market Street, Ste 404
Bluffron, IN 46714
260-824-6496
Probation1@wellscounty.org

Cecile Gough
Operations Administrator
Sedgwick County Dept of Corrections
700 S. Hydraulic
Wichita, KS 67211
316-660-7020
cgough@sedgwick.gov

Mikel Lovin
Director
Leavenworth County Community
Corrections
601 S. 3rd Street, Suite 3095
Leavenworth, KS 66048
913-684-0775
mlovin@leavenworthcounty.org

Julie Ives
Employee Health and Safety Coordinator
MO Department of Corrections
P.O. Box 236
Jefferson City, MO 65102
573-526-6578
julia.ives@doc.mo.gov

Judy K. Hayle
Director
Franklin County Probation Department
355 W. Main Street
Malone, NY 12953
518-481-1650
Jhayle@co.frankline.ny.us

Scott Zeller Probation Officer City of Fairfield Ohio 675 Nilles Rd Fairfield, OH 45014 513-896-8213 szeller@fairfield-city.org

Mike Jackson Chief Medical Officer Oklahoma Department of Corrections 2901 N. Classen, Suite 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73106 405-962-6146 mike.jackson@doc.state.ok.us Douglas E. Campbell
Director
PA Board of Probation and Parole
1101 S. Front Street, Suite 5400
Harrisburg, PA 17104
717-787-5699
docampbell@state.pa.us

Mario Bazan
Director
81st-218th Community Supervision &
Corrections Dept.
914 Main Street, Suite 120
Jourdanton, TX 78026
830-769-3724
mbazan@2fastmail.com

Antonio Molinar Agency Director Midland CSCD 200 N. Main Midland, TX 79701 432-688-4100 tony@midlandcscd.org

Vickie Pinkston Director Hockley CSCD 1212 Houston, Suite 3 Levelland, TX 79336 806-894-9621 vpinkston@hockleycscd.com

Gregory W. Jacquart
Director, Homeland Security/Emergency
Planning
UT Department of Corrections
14717 Minutman Drive
Draper, UT 84020
801-541-0184
gjacquar@utah.gov

Kris Bryant
Assistant Director
Chesterfield Community Corrections
Services
P.O. Box 40
Chesterfield, VA 23832
804-706-1271
bryantk@chesterfield.gov

James R. Lee Chief Probation Officer 1st Circuit Probation Department 840 Charles Street Wellsburg, VA 26070 304-737-3669 jameslee@courts.org

Afsaneh M. Tibbs Management Analyst General District Court - Judicial Center 4110 Chain Bridge Road, Room 228 Fairfax, VA 22030 703-246-4077 Afsaneh.Tibbs@fairfaxcounty.gov

Randal Sparks
Program Administrator
Department of Social and Health Services
P.O. Box 45720
Olympia, WA 98504
360-902-8099
sparkra@dshs.wa.gov